

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

CNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION	NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/737,017	10/737,017 12/16/2003		Myron E. Ullman	1474AUCIPDIVCONT	5589
27542	7590	09/22/2004		EXAMINER	
	& SEBOLT OWER, SU		THOMAS, ALEXANDER S		
4940 MUNSON STREET, NW				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CANTO	N, OH 447	18-3615	1772		
				TATE MAIL CD. 00 22 2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action 0	10/737,017	ULLMAN ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
Ti. HAU NO DATE AND	Alexander Thomas	1772					
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e. cause the application to become ABANDON	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowa	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 September 2004</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-9,11-15 and 17-29 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-9,11-15 and 17-29 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Settion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Terminal Disclaimer

1. The terminal disclaimer filed on 9/1/04 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of 6,663,937 has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Claims 24 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. There is no support in the original disclosure for the phrases "a width which ... each slot" (claim 24) and "a width which ... each slot" (claim 25). The drawings show flow-through openings which are smaller in width than the slots, but the disclosure does not support the claimed ranges of flow-through opening sizes.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 4. Claims 1-3, 5-8, 11-15 and 18-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kessler et al ('399). The reference discloses a floor mat having a plurality of flow-through openings intermediate a plurality of slots and carpet strips in some of the slots, said carpet strips being removable; see Figure 1 and column 2, lines 19-58. The carpet strips may be in every third slot to allow water to drain through the mat (see column 2, lines 61-66), which results in a plurality of flow-through openings intermediate each adjacent pair of slots. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to vary the cross-sectional configuration of the flow-through openings from that of the slots holding the carpet strips in the article of the reference, i.e. make the openings larger or smaller than the slots, depending on the desired amount of flow-through drainage in the mat for a particular end use.
- 5. Claims 4, 9 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kessler et al as applied to claims 1-3, 5-8, 11-15 and 18-29 above, and further in view of Yamamoto et al ('925). The secondary reference discloses the use of hook and loop fasteners to removably attach a carpet strip to a mat; see Figure 3 and column 3, lines 12-19. The primary reference suggests the use of any suitable means to attach the strips to the mat, for example, the use of adhesive or mechanical means; see page 5, lines 9-13. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use hook/loop fasteners as an attaching means to adhere the carpet strips to the slots in the article of the primary reference in view of the suggestion at column 1, lines 21-45 and the Abstract of Yamamoto et al.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander Thomas whose telephone number is 571-272-1502. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-4:00 M-THUR.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ast

ALEXANDER S. THOMAS PRIMARY EXAMINER

alefondy I howa